PROVIDENCE CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

January 8, 2019 6:00 PM

Providence City Office Building, 164 North Gateway Drive, Providence UT

Opening Ceremony:

Call to Order: Mayor Drew

Roll Call of City Council Members: Mayor Drew, B Fresz, K Allen, R Sneddon, D Giles

Excused: K Eck

9 Pledge of Allegiance:

Opening Remarks – Prayer: Josh Paulsen

Approval of the minutes

Item No. 1. The Providence City Council will consider approval of the minutes of December 18, 2018.

Motion to approve the minutes for December 18, 2018 – B Fresz, second – K Allen

Vote:

16 Yea: B Fresz, D Giles, R Sneddon, K Allen

17 Nay: None18 Excused: K Eck19 Corrections: None

<u>Public Comments:</u> Citizens may appear before the City Council to express their views on issues within the City's jurisdiction. Comments will be addressed to the Council. Remarks are limited to 3 minutes per person. The total time allotted to public comment is 15 minutes. The City Council may act on an item, if it arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and the City Council determines that an emergency exists.

Public Hearing(s): None

None

Business Items:

<u>Item No. 1. Discussion – Proposed Rezone:</u> The Providence City Council will discuss a rezone request for Parcels 02-116-0004 (59.11 acres) and 03-036-0027 (19.85 acres) located on the southeast area of the City, in the general area east of Grandview and 800 East, changing the zone from SFL to LCR.

Discussion:

- Mayor Drew explained that the Council has decided to table this decision for 60 days for further discussion and review.
- Mayor Drew explained that the city is obligated to consider a petition from a property owner for a rezone.
 This is according to state law. This is the first time that this particular petition has come before the Council. There are some changes in the zoning ordinance that the mayor would like to consider.
- Shari Phippen of Logan, a former city employee, was asked by residents to prepare a statement for council members in regards to LCR. She was one of the primary authors of the LCR ordinance, and has a decade of experience in professional planning. She feels that the rezone request should be denied for several reasons. First, the property in question was not planned for LCR in the City's general plan. Secondly, the project does nothing to help the City fulfill its mandate to provide affordable housing. She was also concerned that there has not been enough research and planning about traffic concerns. She recommends that the city put a moratorium on all new subdivisions and developments for 6 months (the maximum allowed by state law) until the general plan is finished.
- Ashley Nance of Providence referred to a proposal from Provo City similar to J Jensen's proposal. She felt
 that it was a good example of what should be asked when an application comes into the city for a rezone.
 The application required a subdivision map, which Providence does not require.
- Andrew Lillywhite, Attorney for Hillyard, Anderson, & Olsen represents some of the citizens of Providence. He referred to Utah Code 10-9a-509, which provides that once zoning is changed the land owner has the

right to develop up to the maximum density allowed by the zone. He feels that too much latitude is being given to Imagine Development. The developer did not provide a concept or a phasing plan for the project. He mentioned that such a plan is required by Providence City Code, although Mayor Drew has stated that this requirement is unenforceable. A Lillywhite gave his opinion that the state statute that the Mayor referred to does not preclude enforcement.

- Amy Delosreyes of Providence explained that she carefully chose her home based on zoning and neighborhood considerations. She has enjoyed living in Providence until lately. The proposed rezone has caused anxiety in her family. She understands the need for moderately priced homes in Cache Valley, but she feels that for Providence, this is a matter of safety. Traffic makes it dangerous for kids to play outside. Also, in emergency situations such as fires, high density can cause a traffic jam. She feels that without proper planning, having a development like this puts everyone around the development and everyone within it in danger.
- Brenda Poulsen of Providence asked for an update on LCR on Spring Creek Parkway, and asked about the General Plan and how that fits in with it.
- Mayor Drew said that the General Plan is advisory, and that with most cities it is a continuous process. If something is in the general plan it is not set in stone. The City Council is the ultimate authority. The Chugg property is 3 parcels on the north end of town. They were all unincorporated county parcels, and were in River Heights and Providence's annexation plans, except for one parcel that was only in Providence's plan. That parcel has been annexed into Providence and assigned the LCR zone. The developer has a petition to annex the other two parcels into the city. That application is currently with the County, and will return for the Council's consideration in about eight weeks.
- S Bankhead explained that the application is being reviewed for completeness by the County. It is not going to County Council. Providence City feels that the applicants have met the requirements of the application. Once it comes back to Providence, there will be a notice in the newspaper for three weeks. There is a 30-day period for filing a protest with the County Boundary Commission. If there are no protests a public hearing will be scheduled with City Council. The City will create an annexation agreement with the developer, which will be approved prior to annexing the parcel. Then the Council can vote on the annexation.
- B. Poulsen stated that the agreement for the annexed Chugg parcel has been changed with regard to the bridge on Spring Creek Parkway.
- Mayor Drew explained that there were several versions of the agreement. The one that was approved has
 the developer paying for 75% of the bridge. The City will pay for 25%. He explained that another
 developer to the west had already contributed funds to the City for the bridge, which is why the City
 agreed to cover up to \$75,000 towards the bridge. There is another crossing on the west side for which
 the City has received grant money to cover \$200,000 of the \$271,000 estimated cost of the crossing.
- B Poulsen asked if she could see the Army Corps of Engineers report on the West crossing.
- S. Bankhead said that she will check to see if that is information that she is allowed to release in draft form. Otherwise, she might have to wait until it is signed to release it.
- Emily Sim of Providence lives on 330 West. She reported that there is currently no state mandate for affordable housing, even though the term has been used in Planning Commission as if there is one. According to her research, there is no mandate for the number of affordable housing units in a city. The Utah legislature has tried to craft such legislation, but has not passed any yet. The only mandate currently signed into law is that a city must plan for affordable housing. It must be a part of the general plan, but there is no mandate for the number of units. She feels that the mixed use will drastically change the look and feel of the neighborhoods. She explained that it shouldn't be done at the expense of current residents who chose their homes in Providence because of the current environment.
- Scott Findley, Highlands Phase 1 resident, thanked the council for their work and dedication. He is a professor of real estate finance, economics, and life cycle issues related to aging. His concern about this rezone is not with the LCR ordinance, but rather the particular location. Cluster development can be very beneficial in highly urban metro areas and can improve home equity. In suburban and rural areas, the opposite occurs. He referred to a study he participated in about Larimer Colorado where cluster developments were required and property values were damaged. If cluster development raised home values, he would be for it. He felt that LCR has the potential to wipe out the home values of residents. He

- felt that an LCR rezone with some tweaks could benefit the city if it was closer to the commercial districts.
 - K Allen expressed that the council listens to each resident. To his knowledge, no one on the council has already made up their mind and is unwilling to listen to research or residents' feedback.
 - R Sneddon asked S Findley how "urban" is defined in his research.

- S Findley explained that in the study, non-urban was defined as areas outside of the municipal boundary of Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Ashley Nance has lived in town homes for the past 12 years. She explained that if townhomes have community property, you can't modify it, and you can't just send your kids out alone. It is not the same as having a yard. There is also very little privacy if there is no back yard. She feels that kids need places to play.
- Dan Turner of Providence has lived here 18 years. He has been an engineer for 25 years, and has done developments in every city in Cache Valley. In 25 years' of development experience, the public has opposed every project he worked on except two. They have been opposed for the reasons we have heard today. In his experience, the concerns have usually not come to fruition. As an example, he mentioned Saddle Rock development in River Heights. It went through about three years of city discussion before it was approved. In this case and in many other cases, the majority of people who ended up living in the new development were from the city where the development was located, or were the children of current residents. We shouldn't judge a housing development by a preconceived idea of the character of the residents of that housing development. D Turner and his wife have lived in a tiny apartment, a small house, a condo, and now a 2,800 square foot house in Providence. Their character has not changed. This is true for many people. The type of housing does not determine the character.
- Dee Yoast of Highlands neighborhood appreciates the comments from D Turner. She feels that her character has not changed due to the places she has lived. However, she feels that just because people would want to move into town homes on the bench doesn't mean that it is best to allow it, or that those people are entitled to it, when there are so many potential issues with this development. She thanked the council for suspending the rezone for 60 days. She feels that the location poses issues for safety, etc, that the council should seriously study.
- Carrie Kirk of Grandview Circle explained that she does not feel that it is the type of people that causes concern but rather the property values, traffic, and density. She does not want any more density. Providence residents bought their homes based on the rules that were in place. Changing them halfway through the game is not fair.
- Rob Smith of the Highlands neighborhood has lived here for about a year and a half. In Nibley, he fought the City's decision to put a park behind his home, even though the city showed him scientific research indicating that it would be a positive thing for him. Eventually he changed his mind because his children loved the park. He hopes the scientific evidence presented today is not ignored. Property values and a home surplus are both important concerns that can be addressed scientifically. He feels that the science that has been presented today speaks louder than the anecdotes or emotions, and that the evidence seems to be against this particular rezone. He is concerned about traffic problems.
- Candice Hansen asked the council what plans they had for schools if this development happens. She said that schools are already full.
- Mayor Drew explained that it is the school district's job to plan for future growth. Mayor Drew explained
 that there are plans to convert the middle school to an elementary school to accommodate the growth.
 There are also plans to build a middle school in Nibley.
- S Bankhead explained that the schools read the population studies and are ahead of the city's development. They are planning for a district-wide increase, not just in Providence.
- C Hansen said that with new growth, the district would need a new bond. Each bond is a political battle, not a guarantee.
- Zach Brown of Providence Highlands noted how full the council room is. He hopes the Council will hear the voice of the people.
- Katie Shupe of Canyon Road explained that her concern is property value and land. She wants to live where there is open land. She is also concerned about traffic on Canyon Road, which is already busy, and about the effects on the deer population. She asked the council why they felt this location was ideal for

159 LCR.

• K Allen emphasized that the council did not decide to turn this into LCR. The property owner requested a rezone, and the council is required to consider it.

- Josh Paulsen stated that he wrote a Facebook post claiming that you could put 948 units in the proposed rezone area. That was incorrect. However, you can put six or seven hundred units up there. The developer will have the ability to put up to 50% apartments in the proposed area under the current zoning ordinance. He felt that there has been misinformation given out about members of the Council. Through the process of learning about this, the Council has earned his respect. However, the Council doesn't have the same vision as he does for Providence. He doesn't believe the accusations that Mayor Drew had colluded with the developer. Although he believes that not everyone on the Council has made up their mind, he believes that Mayor Drew and B Fresz would like to see the development go in. He is opposed to LCR because he feels it needs to be improved before it is applied. He wants the ordinance changed so that there are firmer limits on the developer. He also wants a traffic study to be conducted before the rezone is approved, as opposed to the current practice which requires that the traffic study be done after the rezone and paid for by the developer.
- Mayor Drew read a statement prepared by Councilwoman Eck: K Eck is not in favor of the rezone for several reasons. She agrees with the letter from S Phippen. However, she does not agree with the statement about how new home values are determined, and she does not agree that there should be a moratorium on development. She does agree with LCR in some areas of the city. She does not think that the ordinance needs to be rewritten. The zone allows for apartments and condos, and in her opinion these need to be in areas of the city that are more bikeable and walkable and close to public transit. They also need to be in an area with good traffic flow. The Chugg property is a good example of where it is a great fit. She expressed concerns about the existing infrastructure in the Highlands area not fitting LCR. The developer has limited creativity options with open space because of this. She thanked the council and the citizens for their hard work.

<u>Item No. 2. Resolution 001-2019:</u> The Providence City Council will consider for adoption a resolution declaring a portion of the Little Baldy Place Subdivision undevelopable and crediting a portion of the water dedication.

Motion to continue 001-2019 - B Fresz, second - R Sneddon

Vote:

189 Yea: B Fresz, D Giles, R Sneddon, K Allen

Nay:

191 Abstained: None 192 Excused: K Eck

<u>Item No. 3. Ordinance 2019-001 – Code Amendment:</u> The Providence City Council will consider for adoption an ordinance amending Providence City Code Title 10 Zoning Regulations, Chapter 4 Establishment of Districts, Section 4 Mixed Use District. Proposed amendments include but are not limited to: clarify "vertical development" and "horizontal development," increase the density, and to require both residential and retail uses.

Motion to approve 2019-001 – B Fresz second – R Sneddon

Vote:

Yea: B Fresz, D Giles, R Sneddon, K Allen

202 Nay:

203 Abstained: None 204 Excused: K Eck

Discussion prior to vote:

- S Bankhead reviewed recommended changes from the Planning Commission:
- o Page 5 of 11 where mixed use starts Light Commercial This was discussed and removed because there is not a definition for light
- o Page 6 10-4-4-A—Residential development is required at a maximum of 40 dwelling units per acre

- S. Bankhead explained that the increase in density was suggested in order to create the desired amount of pedestrian traffic.
 - o Page 6 10-4-4 D.4.a 39 units per acre

- o Page 6 10-4-4 D.5. Maximum building height within the mixed use district shall be 45' as defined in 10-1-4.
- S. Bankhead explained that the city wants to encourage vertical development, with commercial/retail on the lower floors and residential above. This creates a true mixed area.
- R Sneddon asked why "light" was stricken instead of defined. S Bankhead explained that the uses for each district are spelled out in the use chart. There is no definition for light commercial on the use chart. S Bankhead recommends that the chart be changed to say that any uses not listed are not permitted, instead of saying that they are conditional uses.
- K Allen asked why it was recommended that the wording be changed from "commercial" to "retail" as being a required component of any development in the zone.
- S Bankhead said that retail is a specific type of commercial. She believes that the wording "retail, and may include office and/or commercial" was meant to leave the door open to some uses that are not strictly retail, even though retail is what is being emphasized.
- B Fresz explained that the motivation was to ensure that there is at least 20% retail and 20% residential. The rest is open to the developer's choice.
- Ashley Nance of Providence stated that she attended the meeting when these changes were discussed.
 She explained that the Planning Commission debated between the bonus for vertical development bringing the total density to 30 units per acre, or 30 being the initial limit and then adding the bonus on top of that. They decided that 30 should be the initial limit, with the bonus bringing the total to 39.
- Devon Davis, who lives on 330 W, explained that he felt that the location of mixed use districts should be considered carefully, and that buffer zones should be used in between mixed us districts and other districts. He asked the council to be respectful of comments made by the residents.

Staff Reports: Items presented by Providence City Staff will be presented as information only. R Stapley, Public Works Director:

- Crews have been working hard keeping the streets clear.
- There have been several water main leaks lately.
- He would like the council to keep thinking about HillIcrest Park Phase 2. Fencing was discussed. Mayor Drew suggested preparing a list of options with prices to be presented to the council.

S. Bankhead, Administrative Services Director

- S Bankhead praised the Public Works staff for their hard work during the snow storms.
- Business and dog licenses are currently underway.
- Recreation registrations will begin in a month.
- Diane Campbell is a new employee. Her duties will be general office and assisting with cemetery and business license responsibilities.
- K Allen asked that the furniture store on Main Street be contacted about putting their feather signs in the proper location. He said he will inform them of the violation.

<u>Council Reports:</u> Items presented by the City Council members will be presented as informational only; no formal action will be taken. The City Council may act on an item, if it arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and the City Council determines that an emergency exists.

- Mayor Drew explained that budget challenges will be discussed in the February newsletter. He explained that the Logan Fire contract will also be discussed. He also explained that based on an agreement with the developer of Providence Highlands, in 2020 the City will be required to pay for the water rights for all the undeveloped lots. Currently, this would total a one-time bill for the city of about \$400,000.
- Mayor Drew explained that impact fees collected from developers would pay for the well drilling that would allow the City to use those rights.
- K Allen recommended that the budget meetings be put on the agenda as soon as possible.

263			
264	Executive Session Notice:		
265	The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation as		
266	allowed by Utah Code 52-4-205(1)(c).		
267	The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss professional competence or other factors		
268	allowed by Utah Code 52-4-205(1)(a).		
269	The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss land acquisition or the sale of real property		
270	Utah Code 52-4-205(1) (d) and (e).		
271	Motion to enter an executive session – B Fresz, second – K Allen		
272	Vote:		
273	Yea:	B Fresz, D Giles, R Sneddon, K Allen	
274	Nay:	None	
275	Abstained: None		
276	Excused: K Eck		
277	Entered approximately 9:11pm		
278			
279	Motion to close the executive session – B Fresz, second – D Giles		
280	Vote:		
281	Yea:	K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon, B Fresz	
282	Nay:	None	
283	Abstained: None		
284	Excused: K Eck		
285	Closed approximately 9:32pm		
286			
287	Motion to adjourn –D Giles , second – K Allen		
288	Vote:		
289	Yea:	B Fresz, D Giles, R Sneddon, K Allen	
290	Nay:	None	
291	Abstained: None		
292	Excused: K Eck		
293	Meeting adjourned approximately 9:33 PM		
294	Minutes prepared by Mindi Petersen & Jesse Bardsley		
295			
296			
297			
298	John D	rew, Mayor	Skarlet Bankhead, City Recorder
299			