

1 **Providence City Council Meeting**

2 **March 14, 2006 6:00 p.m.**

3 **Providence City Office Building**

4 **15 South Main, Providence UT**

5 **The Providence City Council will begin discussing the following agenda items at 6:00 p.m.**

6 **Anyone interested is invited to attend.**

7 **Call to Order:** Mayor Simmons

8 **Roll Call of City Council Members:** Mayor Simmons

9 **Attendance:** Mayor: Randy T. Simmons

10 Council: Kathy Baker – by phone, Stacie Gomm, Deon Johnson, (Ron

11 Liechty – Excused), Trent Rasmussen

12 City Administrator/Recorder: Skarlet Bankhead

13 Public Works Director: Randy Eck

14 **Pledge of Allegiance:** BSA Troop 435

15 **Opening:** Trent Rasmussen

16

17 **Presentations and Proclamations:**

- 18 • There are no presentations or proclamations scheduled for tonight’s meeting.

19

20 **Consent Calendar:** All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be  
21 routine and all will be enacted by one roll call vote. There will be no separate discussion of  
22 these items unless members of the City Council, the public, or staff request specific items be  
23 removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

24

25 **Item No. 1. Approval of the minutes for the February 14, 2006 City Council Meeting.**

26 **Item No. 2. Approval of the minutes for the February 27, 2006 City Council Meeting.**

27 Motion to approve the minutes for February 14 and February 27 – D Johnson, second – S Gomm,

28 Vote: Yea: K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

29 Nay: None

30 Abstained: None

31 Excused: R Liechty

32 **Public Comments:** The City Council will hear comments from the public on items not on the  
33 agenda. There will be a three-minute time limit per person and an overall time limit of fifteen  
34 minutes for the Public Comments portion of the agenda. All comments are to be directed to  
35 the City Council. State law prohibits the City Council from taking action on a specific item  
36 unless it appears on the posted Agenda.

- 37 • Shelly Hamilton, 584 Aspen Ridge Ln., reported her half-acre lot is adjacent to the Cove  
38 Subdivision. She is for development but smart development. She felt noticing requirements  
39 had not been met. She felt there were problems with boundary line survey. She expressed  
40 concern about the locked gate emergency access. She read from August 2005 minutes “*V*  
41 *Saunders explained he is not entirely happy with accessing Grandview. He felt the street was*  
42 *somewhat blind. He felt it would be better with Canyon Road as the only access. D Turner*  
43 *explained the road is designed at 12%.” She felt there would be 196 car trips added to*  
44 *Grandview Drive. She expressed concern that the City had not done a traffic study for the*  
45 *400 East and Cove developments. She felt citizens should be informed. She wanted*

1 information on the water and sewer system. She expressed concern that the development  
2 may have a negative impact on her property value. She felt the City should consider  
3 increasing taxes, consider commercial revenue, increase new development fees, and increase  
4 impact fees. She requested ½ acre lots.

- 5 • Mayor Simmons explained an appeal of the preliminary plat approval is in the appeal process.  
6 The subdivision will not be discussed tonight to protect the appeal process.
- 7 • Mark Stimson, 480 Hillsborough, submitted a petition of 176 signatures opposing a zone  
8 change. Read the petition. He stated many wanted to sign.
- 9 • Emily Sim, 23 Andrew’s Ln., explained she attended the recent Joint Workshop. She  
10 encouraged the Council to consider implementing some of the ideas presented.
- 11 • Mae Coover, 435 Canyon Rd., explained that years ago she served on the Planning  
12 Commission for 6½ years. During that time the Planning Commission presented a plan to the  
13 City Council for a street from the Canyon View Subdivision to the property now being  
14 considered for the Cove Subdivision; that Council denied the request feeling the property  
15 would not be developed for a very long time.
- 16 • Denise Strong, 839 Grandview Dr., explained throughout the master plan it discusses  
17 preserving open space; however, the map does not show open space. She felt all zoning  
18 should stop until the plan and the map are in harmony. She also expressed concern about  
19 safety, better roads, sidewalks, etc. She felt there was a mindset the Council needed to rezone  
20 when asked. She felt courts would uphold not changing the zone. She presented a signed  
21 petition requesting no zoning changes until the master plan is changed. She also suggested  
22 the possibility of requiring land for open space. She felt the air pollution should be explored.  
23 She suggested commercial building codes so the buildings enhance the area.
- 24 • Mayor Simmons explained there is a moratorium on new rezone applications.
- 25 • Jared Christensen, 137 Andrews Ln., read from a law journal from SFU discussing the  
26 implementation of Euclidian zoning. The article discussed exclusionary zoning practices and  
27 their potential to perpetuate the cycle of oppression by creating distinct areas that may be  
28 discriminatory.
- 29 • Jordan Christensen, 764 Eagle View Drive, would like to live in the Cove Subdivision, if it is  
30 approved. He expressed concern that many had stated approval of subdivision would  
31 decrease their property values. He explained the zoning would be the same as the majority of  
32 the City. He wondered what it is about him that would decrease property values.

33 **Public Hearings: There are no Public Hearings scheduled for tonight’s meeting.**

34 **Unfinished Business:**

35 **Item No. 1. Discussion. The Providence City Council will discuss forming committees**  
36 **comprised of citizens, city staff, and council members to assist the Council in meeting the goals**  
37 **in the Strategic Plan.**

- 38 • S Gomm would like to consider some creating committees. She felt there may be some that  
39 should be implemented immediately, like a committee to discuss zoning and design review.
- 40 • K Baker asked what committees were being thought of. Mayor Simmons wanted that to be  
41 part of the council discussion.

42 Motion to move this Item to the end of the agenda – S Gomm, second – T Rasmussen,

43 Vote: Yea: K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

44 Nay: None

1            Abstained:     None

2            Excused:        R Liechty

3 **New Business:**

4 **Item No. 1.     Resolution 06-022. The Providence City Council will consider for adoption a**  
5 **resolution approving the final plat for the Wilford Hanson Minor Subdivision located generally**  
6 **at 100 North and 100 West.**

- 7        • Mayor Simmons read the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Conditions listed on the  
8        resolution.
- 9        • Mayor Simmons reported the Planning Commission and the Development Review Committee  
10       recommend approval.
- 11       • Mayor Simmons reported the applicant is aware of the requirement to move the garage. The  
12       final plat will not be recorded until the conditions are met.

13 Motion to approve Resolution 06-022 – D Johnson, second – S Gomm,

14 Vote: Yea:    K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

15            Nay:    None

16            Abstained:     None

17            Excused:        R Liechty

18 **Item No. 2.     Resolution 06-023. The Providence City Council will consider for adoption a**  
19 **resolution approving the preliminary plat for the Bryan Palmer Subdivision located generally**  
20 **at 100 West 200 South.**

- 21        • Mayor Simmons read the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions listed on the  
22        resolution.
- 23        • Bryan Palmer stated the garage would be removed. Gary Stauffer, 630 South 200 West,  
24        stated this was a neat little old garage, about 100 years old.
- 25        • Bryan Palmer stated he was aware of the development requirements and the costs.

26 Motion to approve Resolution 06-023 – S Gomm, second – T Rasmussen,

27 Vote: Yea:    K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

28            Nay:    None

29            Abstained:     None

30            Excused:        R Liechty

31 **Item No. 3. Ordinance Modification 005-2006. The Providence City Council will consider for**  
32 **adoption an ordinance changing the zone of a 10.62 acre parcel of property located generally at**  
33 **690 Grandview Drive from Agricultural (AG) to Single-family Traditional (SFT) for the Cove**  
34 **Subdivision.**

- 35        • Mayor Simmons reviewed the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions. Mayor  
36        Simmons explained only discussion about the zoning change could be heard. An appeal of  
37        the preliminary plat has been filed and to protect the integrity of the appeal, no subdivision  
38        discussion would be taken.
- 39        • Jim Beazer and Kristina Eborn reported the Planning Commission vote was 3 yeas, 1 nay, 1  
40        abstained, Lance Campbell-alternate also voted yea.
- 41        • Mayor Simmons explained the preliminary plat was being appealed. The Council can hear  
42        and act on the zone change.
- 43        • Dan Turner presented the current City Zoning Map.
- 44        • Jared Jensen, 60 East Nest Circle, a lifetime resident of Providence explained he is directly

1 involved with the project. He felt the workshop on Thursday influenced his ideas of open  
2 space. He felt many claims such as ½ lots, property value, etc were inaccurate. He explained  
3 there is a reservation system in place for future lots. The homes range from value of 225,000  
4 – 650,000. He also presented a petition. He believes there is no correlation between lot size  
5 and class. He felt people had a right to live in the proposed subdivision.

- 6 • Brandon Wallin, 515 Spring Creek Road, explained he has been a Providence resident for  
7 several years. They have outgrown their current home and would like to build in Providence.  
8 He felt Providence was a great place to raise a family. He felt the council decisions directly  
9 affect all residents.
- 10 • Nate Webb, 256 West 225 South, has been a resident for about 27 years. He felt he may run  
11 the risk of offending some of the people in the audience, which could be difficult for him as a  
12 businessman in the title business, but felt the issue was important. He discussed ½ acre lots  
13 vs. ¼ acre lots. He felt the ½ acre lots in the Sunset Subdivision were priced very reasonably.  
14 However, he explained the lot prices are increasing. He felt going to ½ acre lots would  
15 increase the cost from \$50,000 – 60,000 to upwards \$85,000. He felt this would price many  
16 people out of the City. He explained he grew up in the Bringhurst subdivision, he felt it was  
17 a nice tight-knit neighborhood. He requested the Council consider people like him and his  
18 family and continue to zone areas that more people could afford.
- 19 • Linda Goetze, 157 South 100 East, reported has been at many city council meetings  
20 discussing issues regarding development. She reported one of her neighbors is selling their  
21 home because they feel there is too much traffic on 100 East. She lives on 1 1/3 acre. She  
22 felt there was some misinformation regarding lots size, water use, and storm water  
23 degradation caused by small lots. She also expressed concern about increase in crime. She  
24 stated she does not like all of her neighbors, sometimes she prefers horses. She reported a  
25 near miss pedestrian/car accident by one of her neighbors for lack of a sidewalk. She felt the  
26 infrastructure was not in place to support more growth.
- 27 • Darcy McEvoy, 875 South 400 East, agreed with the statements of L Goetze. She did not  
28 feel this was rich vs. poor; very expensive homes can be built on small lots. She did not want  
29 to have close neighbors. She had lived in neighborhoods where the neighbors yelled like  
30 “fish wives”. She did not want to hear children and parents now that her children are grown  
31 and raised. She felt ½ acre lots would allow more room for privacy. She felt the beauty of  
32 Providence was being taken away. She expressed concern that this generation feels they  
33 should have a dream home right off the bat. She felt people should live within their means.  
34 She felt people had to earn the right to live in the neighborhood she now lives in.
- 35 • Mary Ellen Klomps, 470 Canyon Road, expressed concern about the access to the  
36 development. She reported many people walk Canyon Road. She did not want to lose  
37 property to benefit someone else.
- 38 • Mayor Simmons explained regardless of the zoning decision he hopes to address sidewalk  
39 issues along Canyon Road.

40 Motion to approve Ordinance Modification 005-2006 – S Gomm, second – D Johnson,

- 41 • T Rasmussen expressed concern about access and safety. He also felt the issue of a sidewalk  
42 along Canyon Road should be looked at.
- 43 • T Rasmussen read from the Envision Utah Policy. He felt perhaps there was middle ground  
44 and a common thread. He reported there are legitimate concerns on both sides of the growth

1 issues. He also explained the State has a large amount of State and Federal open space.  
2 Mayor Simmons explained approximately 68% is under Federal control, 12% is controlled by  
3 the State. T Rasmussen explained the State is forecasting that 2/3 of the growth will be  
4 internal. He discussed the concept of cluster or village, creating pockets of housing  
5 developments with walking friendly environments. He also explained change is  
6 uncomfortable, but inevitable. He reviewed an illustration showing the cycle of family  
7 growth and housing needs.

- 8 • D Johnson reported he visited with a gentleman on site. He promised the man he would look  
9 into the situation. He explained the area is completely surrounded by SFT. He explained  
10 there are other ways for water and sewer without Canyon Road. He explained the project  
11 was originally proposed with a cul-de-sac but the City asked for a through street. He felt the  
12 developer had been very good to work with the City because the cul-de-sac met the current  
13 ordinances. He felt the concerns of the residents had been considered and discussed by the  
14 Council. He felt the City should move forward with a master plan. He felt to some land  
15 owners, the property was their retirement. He did not feel the retirement should be taken  
16 away. He felt the zoning should take place in the beginning of the subdivision process. He  
17 felt there was a safety issue at this location and almost anywhere in Providence. D Johnson  
18 explained he was very thankful for a zoning change in the 1960's that allowed him to build a  
19 home in Providence. He felt the City was diligent in considering zoning issues.
- 20 • K Baker stated she disagreed with D Johnson, she felt there was no immediate need because  
21 the ground was purchased by someone as an investment. She did not feel the City should  
22 change the zone until the questions regarding zoning had been resolved.
- 23 • S Gomm asked how many in the audience lived on a ¼ acre currently – about 1/3 of the  
24 audience raised their hands. She asked how many audience members were interested in  
25 looking at more ½ acre lots – about ¼ of the audience. S Gomm felt this rezone application  
26 should be considered outside of the moratorium because the developer had applied well  
27 before the moratorium was in place. She stated putting new legislation on an existing request  
28 made her nervous.
- 29 • S Gomm did not feel the emergency access could be discussed until the appeal process was  
30 finished. T Rasmussen felt there were some issues that should be addressed in the future.
- 31 • K Baker did not feel it was cut and dry on the remaining water. She requested an  
32 independent study. Mayor Simmons felt Doran Baker should be included on the study  
33 committee.

34 Vote: yea: S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

35 Nay: K Baker

36 Abstained: None

37 Excused: R Liechty

38 **Item No. 4. Ordinance Modification 003-2006 - Reconsideration. Robert H. Hughes, Parsons**  
39 **Behle & Latimer, on behalf of Jared Nielson and the Hillcrest Subdivision is requesting the**  
40 **City Council reconsider their action taken on Ordinance Modification 003-2006, an ordinance**  
41 **approving a zone change from Agricultural (AG) to Single-family Traditional (SFT) for a**  
42 **20.07(+/-) acre parcel located generally at 870 South 400 East.**

- 43 • Robert Hughes represented his client Jared Nielson. R Hughes stated he had seen the issues  
44 Providence is facing in other cities. He commended the Council for studying the issues. He  
45 felt this development needed to be considered under the existing rules. He reported Mr.

1 Nielson is willing to rework his plat to include some of the options such a clustering in the  
2 development, as long as the number of lots is not reduced.

- 3 • Mayor Simmons read a letter submitted earlier today from Robert Hughes stating their  
4 position.
- 5 • S Gomm suggested using this project, putting conditions on the rezone, as a pilot. She  
6 expressed appreciation that someone would step to the plate with a design utilizing the new  
7 design concepts. R Hughes cautioned that it not turn into an open ended process that greatly  
8 prolongs the development process.
- 9 • Mayor Simmons suggested identifying a set of design principals.
- 10 • K Baker expressed concern about having the same number of lots. She did not know if a  
11 better plan could be made.
- 12 • S Gomm felt the SFT zone needed to be honored. S Gomm read Providence City Code 11-5-  
13 15:D. Lance Campbell, Planning Commission Member, felt from the Thursday night  
14 discussion the Planning Commission would be willing to entertain discussions using the  
15 principles discussed.
- 16 • Jared Nielson felt he as the developer had been doing a lot of giving through the process,  
17 working in good faith that the zone would be changed. He will in good faith try to redesign  
18 the development. However, the roads required by the City may limit the possibilities.
- 19 • Mayor Simmons felt conditions could be included in the ordinance; cautioning they should  
20 not place undue burden on the development.
- 21 • Jared Nielson was willing to spend additional funds to on a new design.
- 22 • K Baker expressed concern about the amount of asphalt being required.
- 23 • T Rasmussen felt the roads could be incorporated into the design.
- 24 • Mayor Simmons suggested designing to new urban principles. R Hughes felt it was difficult  
25 to provide protection without a written development agreement.
- 26 • S Gomm agreed with the idea of protecting the applicant's position; but felt if the  
27 Development Review Committee looks at a new plat and does not feel the current design can  
28 be changed, then she would listen to their counsel. R Hughes explained terms of good faith  
29 could be included in the zone approval. He suggested a time frame. R Hughes explained  
30 from his legal perspective, his client is due the existing plat.
- 31 • J Nielsen explained there are 55 lots; one with an existing home.
- 32 • S Gomm asked J Nielsen for a time frame. He explained with the City discussions taking  
33 place within 30 days, he could have something in an additional 15 days. S Gomm explained  
34 the current average lot size is 0.36 acre.
- 35 • K Baker felt there should be less density; she was concerned about the 20 acres with the  
36 current access. She stated Craig Call has stated the Council does not need to change a zone.  
37 Mayor Simmons read from Craig Call's book. R Hughes felt, because his client has been in  
38 the process for a year, there is an argument for rights being vested.

39 Motion to Reconsider 003-2006 with adding the condition Mr. Nielson will submit an amended plat  
40 with to accommodate different lot sizes, clustering, open space etc, so long as the total number of lots  
41 does not exceed the number allowed under the existing SFT zone and a second condition that the  
42 City must act on the resubmitted plat for DRC recommendation within 45 days or the original plat  
43 will be submitted – S Gomm, second – D Johnson,

- 44 • Sheryll Eames, 98 East 400 South, objected to passing the ordinance because the Council had

1 failed to address the safety concern of 400 East.

- 2 • J Nielsen reported based on research from the Planning Commission workshop the traffic was  
3 addressed. M Stimson stated the maximum traffic load of 400 East was over 1000 cars per  
4 hour. S Gomm suggested the City consider improving 300 East to help alleviate some of the  
5 traffic currently using 400 East. She requested this be in the budget discussion for the next  
6 year.
- 7 • Eleanor Hansen, 750 South 400 East, felt part of the problem was the width of the required  
8 roads. She hoped the design would work with the existing neighborhood.
- 9 • Mayor Simmons reported he met with Roy and Eleanor Hansen and they want it stated they  
10 are not anti-development.

11 Vote: yea: S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

12 Nay: K Baker

13 Abstained: None

14 Excused: R Liechty

15 **Item No. 5. Resolution 06-024. The Providence City Council will consider for adoption a**  
16 **resolution extending the time for using a sewer connection for property located generally at 400**  
17 **North 100 West.**

- 18 • Mayor Simmons explained in an agreement to avoid condemnation of property to extend  
19 Spring Creek Parkway, the property owner was given the waiver of two impact fees. One has  
20 been used; the Hoth's are requesting an extension to use the second fee.
- 21 • K Baker expressed concern about extending three years; she felt it may be too long.
- 22 • Mayor Simmons felt three years was an easy timeframe.

23 Motion to approve Resolution 06-024 as presented – D Johnson, second – T Rasmussen,

24 Motion to amend to two years – S Gomm, died for lack of second

25 Vote: yea: K Baker, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

26 Nay: S Gomm

27 Abstained: None

28 Excused: R Liechty

29 **Unfinished Business:**

30 **Item No. 1. Discussion. The Providence City Council will discuss forming committees**  
31 **comprised of citizens, city staff, and council members to assist the Council in meeting the goals**  
32 **in the Strategic Plan.**

- 33 • D Johnson felt the committees should be comprised of staff and the citizens, so a council  
34 member does not have preconceived ideas. Mayor Simmons explained his idea was to have a  
35 council member acts as the committee chair with another council member on the committee.  
36 He explained he envisioned the committees would work on ideas and issues in general.
- 37 • S Gomm stated she felt there should be multiple committees each considering a different  
38 issue, instead of a few committees considering many issues.
- 39 • T Rasmussen suggested starting with core committees and then if additional committees area  
40 needed they could be added.
- 41 • D Johnson felt some of the issues would work hand in hand and one committee could handle  
42 them.
- 43 • Mayor Simmons explained he is not visualizing committees that would actually be managing  
44 areas; but putting together ideas and suggestions to meet the goals. He felt citizens meeting

1 with staff members would be educational for the community. He also felt some of the  
2 committees may recommend expenditures.

- 3 • M Stimson expressed concern that new growth is used as a revenue resource – what will  
4 happen when growth stops – a large tax increase.
- 5 • S Eames expressed alarm that the City may be dependant on revenue from development to  
6 meet what is already here. Mayor Simmons explained property taxes are funding a low  
7 portion of the City.
- 8 • K Baker felt committees should include parks, recreation, planning, infrastructure, historical  
9 preservation, and water and sewer.
- 10 • S Gomm suggested planning and zoning, city building and library, recreation programs,  
11 water study, secondary water, communication committee, pollution & growth, alternative  
12 transportation, trail systems, sewer, roads.
- 13 • Mayor Simmons will email a set of committees within a week, the assignments will be  
14 discussed at the next council meeting.

15 **Staff Reports: Items presented by Providence City Staff will be presented as informational**  
16 **only.**

- 17 • R Eck reported Macey’s is getting ready to start construction. The developers have been told  
18 to minimize the impact construction has on the community.
- 19 • R Eck reported he, the Mayor, and representative from Amsource met with UDOT. They are  
20 somewhere in the reclassification process; but not willing to discuss when. R Eck reported  
21 Carl Pitt will meet with the CMPO about the speed reduction.
- 22 • T Rasmussen asked about safety of the middle school. R Eck felt the construction was far  
23 enough away that there would not be an impact.
- 24 • Mayor Simmons reported they also discussed a stoplight for 300 South with UDOT and got  
25 no where.
- 26 • T Rasmussen asked about encouraging businesses to locate in the development. R Eck  
27 suggested the City will have to offer incentives. S Gomm felt Amsource would have some  
28 say.

29 **Council Reports: Items presented by the City Council members will be presented as**  
30 **informational only; no formal action will be taken. The City Council may act on an item, if it**  
31 **arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and the City Council determines that an**  
32 **emergency exists.**

- 33 • T Rasmussen discussed workshop. Sheryl Eames expressed concern about providing for the  
34 public if the Planning Commission and Council leave the area for a workshop.
- 35 • Eleanor Hansen explained her nephew is an architect.
- 36 • K Baker reported she will attend the Citizen Planner Workshop in June.
- 37 • D Johnson reported he enjoyed the recent Rural Water Conference. He spoke with the state  
38 water engineer about the water transfer. He explained water has been transferred in Cache  
39 County before. He felt the transfer looked good. D Johnson reported someone from the State  
40 Engineers office is willing to come and explain the transfer.
- 41 • S Gomm no additional items to report.
- 42 • Mayor Simmons reported the Development Review Committee discussed 100 South. He  
43 explained DRC recommended a 50-foot right of way; but gave guidelines for a 45-foot right-  
44 of-way. In a discussion with Mark Thompson, M Thompson felt 50-feet would work. Mayor



1 Simmons also explained it may be two years before 100 South is included in the budget. M  
2 Thompson was okay with completing the project in the future, if property work and grading  
3 takes place as soon as possible.

- 4 • Mayor Simmons explained with the removal of the north lift station; the sewer will gravity  
5 flow, eliminating the surges in the 100 North line. There are also other sewer lines that can  
6 be improved to accommodate the sewer if 100 South is not extended.
- 7 • S Gomm asked about the need for sewer expansion in the future. Mayor Simmons explained  
8 it is not the high priority it once was.
- 9 • K Baker would like the paperwork in place so the issues won't have to be rehashed in the  
10 future. D Johnson felt the 100 South sewer system was designed into the original system. He  
11 felt it was important to the capacity of 100 North. D Johnson will follow up with Max Pierce.  
12 D Johnson explained impact fees can be used to install the sewer. Eleanor Hansen asked  
13 about a Herald Journal article stating Logan is concerned with sewage coming from  
14 Providence. R Eck nothing that can't be resolved.
- 15 • Pete Brunson discussed purchasing a video camera. S Gomm will review the proposal.

16 **Note: At any time during the meeting, the City Council may vote to go into an executive**  
17 **session pursuant to Utah Code 52-4-5.**

18 Motion to enter into an executive session – Trent Rasmussen, second - D Johnson,

19 Vote: yea: K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

20 Nay: None

21 Abstained: None

22 Excused: R Liechty

- 23 • The Council entered into an executive session at approximately 9:45 p.m.

24 Motion to close the executive session – R Liechty, second- T Rasmussen,

25 Vote: yea: K Baker, S Gomm, D Johnson, T Rasmussen

26 Nay: None

27 Abstained: None

28 Excused: None

29 Motion to adjourn – R Liechty

30 Meeting adjourned at approximately 10:35 p.m.

31  
32 Minutes taken and prepared by S Bankhead.

36 \_\_\_\_\_  
37 Randy T. Simmons, Mayor

36 \_\_\_\_\_  
37 Skarlet Bankhead, City Recorder