2 May 14, 2019 5:30 PM 3 Providence City Office Buildin

Providence City Office Building, 164 North Gateway Drive, Providence UT

4

1

5 Opening Ceremony:

6 Call to Order: Mayor Drew

7 Roll Call of City Council Members: Brent Fresz, Kristina Eck, Kirk Allen, Dennis Giles, Roy Sneddon

Pledge of Allegiance: Mayor Drew

Opening Remarks – Prayer:

9 10 11

12

13

14

16

8

Executive Session 5:30 PM:

The Providence City Council may enter into a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation as allowed by Utah Code 52-4-205(1)(c).

Motion to enter executive session—B Fresz, second — R Sneddon

15 Vote

Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon

17 Nay:18 Excused:

19 20

21

Approval of the minutes

Item No. 1. The Providence City Council will consider approval of the minutes of April 30, 2019

22 Motion to approve the minutes of April 30, 2019: — R Sneddon, second — D Giles

23 **Vote**:

Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon

25 Nay:

Excused:

Discussion:

No corrections

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

26

27

<u>Public Comments</u>: Citizens may appear before the City Council to express their views on issues within the City's jurisdiction. Comments will be addressed to the Council. Remarks are limited to 3 minutes per person. The total time allotted to public comment is 15 minutes. The City Council may act on an item, if it arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and the City Council determines that an emergency exists.

- Kent Dunkley spoke about 100 South. He said that a cul-de-sac was recorded and approved by the city, and approved by the city council, mayor and city attorney. He showed the council a document. The question with Mark Thompson's property is west of the cul-de-sac, not east of the cul-de-sac. He heard this week that there may have been wrongdoing on the part of Mark Thompson or others on 100 South. Even if this is the case, it shouldn't affect an approved cul-de-sac. He questioned whether the discussion tonight is about winning or about a true need. He said that M Thompson has been an excellent neighbor who has contributed to the city. He would like to see the city speak with the residents face to face rather than through an attorney. He said that K Eck and Mayor Drew said that they opposed eminent domain during their campaigns, but yet now are considering it.
- Teri Dunkley said that she has lived on 1st South since 1990. This has been an issue that entire time. In 2003, there was a signed document in support of the cul-de-sac by residents. She feels that now the city is going back on their word by trying to put in a through road. It is not just the residents of 100 south that would like the buffer. She said that the townhome residents nearby would also like it to be a cul-de-sac. She is also opposed to the idea of leaving a road unrepaired until it runs out of service life.
- Deon Johnson was on the council at the time that 1st south came on the agenda. It was an issue for a year and a half. The residents fought hard for what was theirs. The residents used to own the road. The residents accepted city water and city sewer, and allowed the city to put the sewer line through their property. The council decided at that time that the residents could retain their dead end street. He hopes this issue doesn't have to be fought out again.

54 <u>Public Hearing(s):</u> 55 Item No. 1. PUE V

Item No. 1. PUE Vacate & Relocate 6:15 PM: Prior to making a decision on public utility easement vacation and relocation in the east and north portion of property located in the general area of 370 Abbey Lane, Providence UT, the City Council is holding a public hearing. The purpose of the public hearing is to provide an opportunity for anyone interested to comment on the proposal before action is taken. The City Council invites you to attend the hearing in order to offer your comments.

No comments

_

Business Items(s):

<u>Item No. 1. Proposed Vote</u>. Update on agreement between Spring Creek Water Company and Providence City and authorization for legal counsel to take further action.

Motion to table the vote until May 28 − D Giles, second − K Eck

Vote:

Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon

Nay:

Excused:

- D Giles felt that we should table this until June 10. He talked with B Speth of the water company and feels that an agreement can be worked out within the timeframe of 120 days required by the city's application for permanent change of water.
- K Allen felt that we should postpone it until May 28th. There are other issues that need to be cleared up, such as representation on the board, voting according to the number of shares, etc.
- B Fresz is in favor of continuing it until May 28th.
- R Sneddon felt that waiting until the 28th is in the best interest of the city.
- K Eck was in favor of waiting until the 28th.

<u>Item No. 2. Proposed Vote.</u> The Providence City Council will consider eminent domain action for a portion of 100 South between approximately 400 West and 500 West.

Motion to postpone the vote until the next council meeting: -K Allen, - R Sneddon

Vote

Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon

Nay:

85 Excused:

- Mayor Drew said that this includes a strip of land on the west side of where 100 south is closed off. It is about 14-16 feet wide.
- Mark Thompson spoke about his history with the city. He said that he is usually easy to work with. In the past, he donated the land for the soccer fields behind Spring Creek Middle School to the city. Not long after that, the city came with a sewer issue. He worked as a mediator between the city and the residents on the street and convinced the residents that they needed to help the city with the sewer. As for the 16 ft right-of-way in question, he said that he put a restriction on the deed that says that the easement cannot be used to access 200 West. He said that he recently got a call from the city attorney that mentioned eminent domain. He feels that eminent domain is combative and offensive. He suggested that instead, the city should talk to him and discuss the issue.
- Joe Chambers, attorney for Mark Thompson, said that if the city goes ahead with eminent domain, he and Mark Thompson will exercise their rights according to the legal process. What Mark is suggesting is that there is a better way to handle it.
- K Allen understands that the people of that street have had this hanging over their head for a while, and that this is an emotional issue. He would like to postpone the vote until the next council meeting so that we can talk with Mark Thompson about this specific property. He is not sure what the effect will be on making the road go through.
- R Sneddon feels that when looking at the map, it is apparent that putting the road through is inevitable. It is only a matter of time. He believes that we can come to an agreement that represents the interests of both sides.

- C Wooley, Providence City attorney, said that the property in question has a clouded title. Even if the city works out a deal with M Thompson, it is still clouded. A title search said that it is titled to George Hogan who died 100 years ago. Even if M Thompson tells us that we can work something out, there still needs to be a quiet title action or an eminent domain action unless M Thompson is willing to indemnify the city against any future claimants. C Wooley asked if J Chambers would allow us to contact his client.
- J Chambers said that he does not have a problem with a non-attorney contacting M Thompson. The council members can contact him. However, it can't be three or more council members unless it is a public meeting.
- C Wooley said that this piece of land was split off from George Hogan's land at some point. Later on, there was a deed to M Thompson and his wife. Then there was a deed from M Thompson to Providence City. The property in question is owned by Providence City right now if M Thompson had the right to deed it the way he did it. The title company said that George Hogan owns the property according to them, but they would not insure that opinion. The clouded title makes it difficult for the city to do anything with that property without a court action.
- M Thompson said that if there is a clouded title, he would like to discuss it rather than going through the process of eminent domain. He feels that it can be cleared up.
- Mayor Drew said that we need to have an agreement in writing or from the court so that when councils and mayors change, the agreement will survive.
- K Dunkley said that even though the cul-de-sac agreement was in writing, it doesn't seem to be surviving changes of leadership. He said that the property in question has pavement and curb and gutter on one side. Someone has already done something with it. He disagreed with the idea that the city can't do anything with the property until court action is taken.
- M Thompson said that George Hogan bought that 16 ½ foot parcel so that he could herd his cattle down that road. M Thompson has researched this parcel under the direction of Michael Gleed.

Item No. 3. Resolution 014-2019 Annexation for further consideration: The Providence City Council will consider for adoption a resolution accepting an annexation petition for further consideration for Parcel No. 02-096-0058; a 10-acre parcel located adjacent to the southwest boundary of Providence City, in the general area of 505 West 500 South, Providence.

- Motion that the city consider the annexation petition K Allen, D Giles
- Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon
- 136 Nay:

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

- 137 Excused:
 - S Bankhead explained that this vote does not obligate the council to annex the property. It only tasks the city recorder and the city attorney with verifying that it can be certified.
 - Nick Porter of Champlain Development said that they would like to annex the property and build a 55 and older community on it. He presented the council with a preliminary drawing.
 - K Eck asked if 500 South would be brought through.
 - N Porter said that it would.
 - S Bankhead said that they are asking for Single Family High which is 5.5 units per acre.
- Mayor Drew asked about the open area shown in the upper right of the drawing.
 - N Porter said that it is a detention pond.
 - K Allen asked where the open space is.
 - K Eck asked if this will be marketed as a 55 and older community, or just as houses on small lots.
 - N Porter said that it will be marketed as a 55 and older community.
 - These will be 1500-3000 sq ft single-story homes.
 - N Porter said that they may incorporate a walking trail. They have also thought about incorporating a community garden.
- Mayor Drew asked about incorporating Ballard Spring as a park-like feature.
 - N Porter said that this parcel does not touch the spring.
- R Sneddon asked if he would be able to live there if he was over 55 and he had teenagers at home.

- N Porter said that he would not be eligible if the teenagers were living there permanently. However, even in 55 and older communities, there is a percentage that do not have to be 55 and older. He thinks that this is a government stipulation.
- S Bankhead explained the process relating to annexation. If the council wanted to, they could deny the petition right now. If they are willing to consider it, they can approve it for further consideration. Then the city recorder and the city attorney will make sure that the petition can be certified. After that, notice is given to the public. At the end of this process, the city council votes to approve or deny the annexation.
- B Fresz asked why the developer thinks that this is a good property for this development.
- N Porter said that these types of communities like quick access to the downtown, including grocery stores and hospitals. They felt that there is a growing demand in Providence for a community like this.
- B Fresz said that developing around the spring and incorporating it as a feature would add a lot of value.
 He is worried that if this parcel is taken out and developed separately, using the spring in a coordinated development will no longer be possible. He said that it could be developed as retail with a walking trail around the spring.
- N Porter asked whether the other property owners around the spring would consider selling.
- Mayor Drew said that Marlin Hoth just acquired that property. He wants to farm it, and would like to be de-annexed into the county.
- K Eck said that it is pretty steep around the spring and might be difficult to develop.
- K Allen felt that approving this community could draw business into the area. It could attract the type of development that B Fresz is referring to.

<u>Item No. 4. Ordinance No 2019-010.</u> The Providence City Council will consider for adoption an ordinance vacating and relocating a public utility easement in the east and north portion of property located in the general area of 370 Abbey Lane, Providence UT.

Motion to approve Ordinance No 2019-010—B Fresz, second — R Sneddon

Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon

Nay:

Excused:

- S Bankhead said that the city has purchased property with the intent to build a reservoir. In order to service pipes going to and from that reservoir, we realized that we needed to change some things about the easement going through the Stevenson's property. The Stevensons suggested the current proposed easement.
- R Stapley, Public Works Director, explained that we did some incorrect easements when we first put our water line through the Stevensons' property. After some discussion with the property owner, it was decided that it would be easiest to vacate all the current easements and record new ones. The sides of the property had public utility easements. The property owner would like to remove those. They would like to remove the old water pipe easement, and make one 15 ft easement from Abbey Lane. The city can put two water mains within that easement.
- S Bankhead mentioned page 2 line 13. The implementation clause of the ordinance specifies that if the property owners change their mind on the new easement before all the paperwork is done, the city will get the old easement back.
- B Fresz asked if both the city and the property owner are happy with the plan.
- R Stapley said that they are.

<u>Item No. 5. Discussion.</u> The Providence City Council will discuss the Providence Cemetery expansion project and fees.

- Mayor Drew presented a data sheet about the prices that other cities charge for their cemetery services. We have 169 spaces that remain unsold. The expansion would bring in an additional 800 spaces. If we are selling about 100 a year, that would mean that we will have 8-10 years' supply of cemetery spaces.
- Mayor Drew said that all of the cities that we examined other than Logan have a resident and non-resident rate. We have discussed following Logan's lead by charging a single rate.

- Mayor Drew said that many people buy the maximum number of spaces per household in our cemetery, which is 8. There are only two other cities that we examined, Smithfield and Hyde Park, that have a limit. Also, many people buy spaces at the resident rate, and then transfer them to non-residents. There are also over 3000 spaces that have been sold but are not yet occupied. This is over half of the total spaces.
 - Mayor Drew said that former River Heights Mayor Brackner has been asked to approach Providence about sharing the cemetery. If this were to happen, a district with taxing authority could be created.
 - Shane Hansen, Providence City Cemetery sextant, said that he wishes that residents could continue to get a discounted rate. However, he feels that it is time that we go to one rate. People transfer resident spaces to non-residents. We should probably consider raising some of our fees, such as opening and closing fees and the \$20 transfer fee. He mentioned that some cemeteries don't allow you to purchase a space until someone dies. We might want to also consider a headstone placement fee. It takes S Hansen about an hour to prepare the area for the placement.
 - K Eck asked about the fee to exhume.

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250251

252

253

254

255

256

257

- S Hansen said that we charge an opening and closing fee for exhumations.
- Mayor Drew explained that the Providence City Cemetery is not in River Heights city. It is in county land that is surrounded by River Heights City. At the time it was purchased, it was not surrounded by River Heights City.
- K Allen asked if Logan implemented a study for their prices.
- S Hansen is not sure. He thinks that they implement a percentage increase every few years.
- B Fresz looked at the budget information and said that we spent about \$85,000 in Operations and Maintenace (O&M) last year, and our current year projected is \$106,000.
- R Sneddon said that Providence families think of these as family plots. They don't consider their children who live somewhere else as non-residents. It would be easier to do one rate.
- Mayor Drew said that policing two different rates is very difficult.
- S Hansen said that the new motor for the cemetery well pump should be up and running soon.
- S Hansen urged the council to not wait too long on a vote for rates, because the remaining spaces will start selling quickly.
- K Eck proposed that we put this on the May 28th agenda for a vote.
- Mayor Drew said that if we are going to do that, the council needs to agree on rates.
- K Allen said that he prefers two rates, because the residents have subsidized the cemetery.
- S Bankhead said that it is very difficult to police this, especially when people are considering death or are trying to help a friend in crisis.
- K Allen suggested requiring a signed statement.
- S Hansen said that if we want two rates, we should not allow transfers. We should also consider decreasing the number of spaces allowed per household.
- Mayor Drew said that the rate at which we are selling the spaces is increasing, and that we will run out soon.
- S Bankhead said that the limited number of spaces available at the cemetery causes people to buy more spaces.
- B Fresz said that someone should do research about how much all of the cemetery services cost us.
- R Sneddon said the costs of the services will increase over time. Our rates should as well.
- B Fresz said that the only thing that is subjective is the burial space. The rest can be analyzed to determine the cost.
- Mayor Drew said that the fees for opening and closing are probably higher than the cost of the labor. Part of the fee is a means of recouping the cost of operating the cemetery.
- S Hansen said that there is a process for reclaiming unused cemetery spaces that have been unused for a certain amount of time. It can be messy because descendants of the owner of the space can claim to be heirs. However, it is something that we could consider.
- K Eck would like to make sure that the decision on the rates doesn't get put off for too long. She asked the council who is in favor of one rate for a burial space, and who is in favor of a resident/non resident split.
- B Fresz said that we should match Logan's pricing, which is one rate.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306 307

308

309

- K Allen would like two rates.
- R Sneddon would like one rate, based on 2020 dollars.
 - K Eck likes the idea of one rate
 - D Giles likes the resident rate, but he thinks that perhaps one rate is the solution to our problems.
 - S Hansen is concerned that if we don't match Logan's rate, our spaces will fill up.
 - S Bankhead asked for the council's position on perpetual care, which is covered by the lot price. Does the council want to have that cover all maintenance except the grave opening, or only a portion of ongoing maintenance with some set aside for future expansion and repair and replacement?
 - K Eck suggested that we do half spaces for infants.
 - S Hansen said that this complicates the clerical work for the cemetery.
 - Mayor Drew said that based on his calculations, our price for a cemetery space only covers the value of the land itself. It is not paying for maintenance costs.
 - S Bankhead said that the perpetual care right now goes towards capital repair and replace and expansion. This is the money from the grave site purchase. The O&M comes from opening and closing fees and is subsidized by property taxes.
 - K Allen felt that some of the money should go towards repair, and some towards O&M. We should look at
 ways to increase the flow of money into the cemetery budget so that it doesn't drain as much out of our
 general fund.
 - B Fresz said that unused graves should have a maintenance fee.
 - S Bankhead said that some cemeteries do that. Part of the reasons is that if someone is paying, they know that someone intends to use that space. If it goes dormant, they have ways to foreclose on it.
 - Mayor Drew asked how we can price perpetual care into what we charge today. It is difficult to estimate the cost of perpetual maintenance.
 - K Allen said that although we may not be able to estimate the long-term cost, we can lower the amount of money coming from our general fund for cemetery maintenance.
 - R Sneddon said that a cemetery is a kind of green space. It is a good place to contemplate. It is worth maintaining it well.
 - K Eck suggested having one rate for burial spaces at \$750 and leaving all other cemetery fees the same.
 - S Hansen suggested \$800. He also feels like the weekend opening and closing fee is too low.
 - S Hansen spoke about the additional charge for opening and closing after 4pm. His suggestion is not to allow this at all. In the winter time, opening and closing after 4pm means that city staff is closing the grave in the dark, which is a safety issue.
 - Mayor Drew asked if we should use Logan's rates.
 - K Eck and D Giles agreed.
 - R Sneddon suggested splitting the difference between our rates and Logan's rates.
 - S Hansen said that if we go lower than Logan's rates, he thinks River Heights residents will sell their Logan spaces and buy ours.
 - K Allen asked about our indigent program for those in need. S Bankhead said that it is still operational.
 - R Sneddon said that for a grave space, he is okay with charging more than Logan (which charges \$750). It is the other rates for which he wants to split the difference.
 - R Sneddon was concerned about the 4pm deadline for burials. He said that many families gather together for a meal before a burial, and things end up getting later than expected.
 - S Hansen said that we inform the families of our time restrictions, and it usually works out fine.
 - The council decided to vote on this on the 28th of May.
 - K Eck suggested that we have an article written about the history of the Chinese headstones that are in the cemetery.
 - K Allen knows someone who has researched it, and will look into it.

Item No. 6.Discussion. Budget presentation

• Mayor Drew presented a sheet to the council that has information on the compensation that other cities pay to their council members and mayor.

310 B Fresz explained that he asked that council member compensation be brought up because he wanted to 311 get more people involved on the city council. He said that the city council is a flexible part-time job. We 312 have a large number of highly educated stay-at-home moms who are not working. He surveyed seven of 313 them in his neighborhood (about 10%) and asked them what it would take for them to consider looking at 314 a city council position.

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339 340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

- B Fresz said that he used the federal poverty level as something on which to base his salary suggestions. Most of the people he asked were willing to consider the council position if it paid the equivalent of the federal poverty level for a 2-3 person household (\$16,910-21,330). He asked S Bankhead and the Mayor to look at what other cities pay. If we make any changes, he suggested that they only apply after the upcoming election.
- D Giles said that the newer generation doesn't want to take the time to do the job. He is not sure if a pay increase would help.
- Mayor Drew said that Brigham City offers their council members a full employee benefits package.
- C Wooley said that in his experience representing boards, sometimes no amount of money is worth the difficulties that one is exposed to. Paying to get people to run might not work. But he does believe that council members should be paid. This job affects all areas of their lives. Voting for a raise exposes the council to criticism, but it might be worthwhile.
- K Eck said she would have a hard time voting herself a raise. She didn't run to get paid. She doesn't really have an opinion on it.
- B Fresz said that the advantage of tying the pay to the federal poverty level is that it raises periodically with cost of living. The council wouldn't have to continually vote for increases.
- K Allen said that he is not sure about tying it to the poverty level because this is an add-on job, not the only position a council member will have.
- B Fresz said that by paying too little, we are excluding a group of people who can't afford to put the time in. Instead, we get people who can afford it even without the pay. These are often retirees.
- Mayor Drew said that stay-at-home moms who could potentially join the council already aren't getting paid anything.
- B Fresz said that if they are choosing between part time jobs, it would help for a council position to pay more. This is a pretty good part-time job with flexible hours. Also, the people he polled were looking for
- Mayor Drew said that such high pay would make Providence an outlier.
- B Fresz said that we are in a position that requires more effort of the council, because we are no longer a small city, but we don't yet have the support staff of a larger city like Logan.
- K Allen said that he didn't know he would be paid when he ran for city council. If someone is doing it for the money, they are doing it for the wrong reason. He might be willing to raise it a little, but not very much.
- R Sneddon said that he also didn't know that the city council was paid when he ran. He would be fine with no pay at all for himself.
- D Giles said that the reason that most of the council didn't know that they would be paid is their age. Still, he is not sure whether or not pay will make a difference in getting people to run.
- Mayor Drew said that sometimes those who are on the council for the money might make different decisions than those who are not.
- Mayor Drew said that the amount that K Allen suggested would be about a 4.5% increase.
- K Eck asked how much of a raise the city staff are getting for the next budget year. Mayor Drew said that a 3% increase is the plan.
- K Allen suggested putting this on the agenda for November or December. Mayor Drew said that for budget purposes, we could put a 4.5% increase in the budget for now, and then vote on it later.
- Mayor Drew brought up the fire contract for emergency services. This budget year, it will be \$303,000 for a partial year. We need to find a funding source. The next year will be \$460,000, with a 3% increase after that for the rest of the 5-year contract. Mayor Drew asked if we should fund this through a property tax increase. According to his calculations, this would be an increase of about \$175 per household per year for an average residence. The average residence currently pays \$211 in Providence. It is about an 80%

- property tax increase. This would definitely be an increase that would get people's attention. The other option is a \$17 per month utility bill charge that would go into effect November 1st.
 - S Bankhead said that Roger Tew of the Utah League of Cities and Towns advised us that if someone were to challenge the \$17 utility charge in court, we would probably lose. They could challenge it on the basis that it is a tax in disguise, and we didn't go through the truth in taxation process.
 - R Sneddon asked what the legal difference is between that fee and garbage collection.

- S Bankhead said that not everybody utilizes the emergency services. This is one difference.
- R Sneddon doesn't like making residents pay for things under the general heading of "tax." He would prefer that people see what they are paying for.
- Mayor Drew also likes the utility fee because it has a line item that shows residents that the money is going to Logan City.
- S Bankhead said that there are many people who do not even know how much they pay in property taxes because it is incorporated into their mortgage. These people would probably prefer a tax increase to a utility increase.
- K Eck said that they will still see their mortgage go up. She likes the line item on the utility bill.
- K Eck said that with the utility bill charge, those that live in apartments would share in the cost.
- C Wooley said that we need to be careful when we levy a fee like that so that we do not disguise a tax as a fee. It is a service that we are charging for, but the problem is that not everybody uses it. It would be a fee if we only charged the people that used it. When you are spreading it among the whole population, it is more like a tax. The way to avoid trouble is to treat it like a tax and have the public hearing even if it is going on the utility bill. Or, we could just say that we don't believe it is a fee and hope that nobody fights it. C Wooley said that if the council would like him to, he could do some research on whether we could survive a legal challenge.
- Mayor Drew noted that some cities charge a streets fee on their utility bill.
- C Wooley said that counties and municipalities do similar things all the time. Sometimes they are challenged and sometimes they are not.
- Mayor Drew said that we could argue that it is a fee for an outside contract, or that it is kind of like insurance that everyone pays for. However, one argument for making a tax increase is that the amount we receive would naturally increase over time as the value of homes increase.
- K Allen said that we should not be afraid of having a public hearing.
- B Fresz said that there is no reason not to go through the truth in taxation process, even if we end up putting it on the utility bill.
- S Bankhead said that we will be doing the truth in taxation process regardless of what we choose.
- Mayor Drew said that a property tax increase has the benefit of increasing its revenue gradually to keep
 up with the increase in the Logan City emergency services contract. Also, it is deductible from federal
 income taxes. Therefore, the federal government would be subsidizing the increase for those who itemize
 their deductions. However, many people do not itemize their deductions anymore because the standard
 deduction rates have increased.
- Mayor Drew asked the council members which option they are in favor of. B Fresz and D Giles were in favor of a property tax increase, and K Allen and R Sneddon were in favor of a utility bill fee. K Eck didn't have an opinion. Mayor Drew decided that we will proceed on the assumption of a property tax increase.
- S Bankhead spoke about the budget. She said that we used a 3% increase for all employees, including the council and mayor. We can adjust that to 4.5% for the council and mayor as discussed. We also included the city manager salary in the budget. We are assuming a 50% benefit rate.
- R Sneddon asked where the money for the city manager will come from.
- S Bankhead said that it will come from property tax revenue and sales tax.
- K Allen said that we need to go slowly on adding the city manager position. There was a lot of pushback four years ago. We should consider other options, such as hiring additional support personnel.
- B Fresz said that whether or not we call it a city manager or a city administrator, we need to hire someone else for succession planning for when S Bankhead retires. We will have to budget for double wages for a while.

- Mayor Drew said that every year, there are more requirements imposed on the city. These are unfunded,
 complex mandates from state and federal government. It is easier for cities like Logan to handle them,
 because their department heads are experts in their specific areas. We don't have that kind of specialized expertise. Most of our office employees wear multiple hats and are jacks of all trades.
 - K Eck said that if we get pushback on this, we should try to broaden the public's understanding of the issue.
 - K Allen said that with the increase in property taxes that we are already planning, the citizens will want a good justification for any additional expenditures.
 - R Sneddon said that he thinks that the argument here is about the nomenclature. He said that it is a given
 that we need to bring in someone to absorb the institutional knowledge that S Bankhead has before she
 retires. That does not represent a permanent increase in salary costs for the city. He said that many
 citizens don't like the term "city manager." They would like more accountability to be on the mayor.
 - K Eck said that the opposition happens because people think that hiring a city manager changes our form
 of government. She is confident that a city manager will pay for themselves by writing grants, bringing in
 commercial development, and helping the city avoid pitfalls. We are an up-and-coming city, one of the
 largest in the valley, and one of the few that does not have a city manager.
 - K Allen doesn't think that we will be able to find someone with a lot of experience. We will probably get someone right out of college with a degree in public administration.
 - Mayor Drew said that there are about 90 members of the Utah City Manager's association. Most of them have a master's degree in public administration and worked their way up through city organizations. Usually they will also have a secondary skill set that is useful to the city.
 - R Sneddon asked what other skill set we need.

- Mayor Drew said that we could partially replace our city engineer if we found someone who also had engineering skills.
- S Bankhead said that she doesn't think they will have time to handle the engineering and all the management issues.
- K Allen said that if we get a city manager to do the work that S Bankhead currently does, it is likely that they will also have to work 50-60 hours a week. Should this simply be the expectation for the position?
- Mayor Drew asked what kind of background we should look for to replace S Bankhead when she retires.
 He said that he is looking for someone who can manage the engineering projects, not someone to do them. He said that we currently spend a lot on engineering. He would like the public works department and CRS engineers to present to the council reports on where the money is being spent.
- R Sneddon said that he doesn't know if we could find someone with the experience to do S Bankhead's job who also has the experience to manage engineering projects.
- Mayor Drew said that most city administrators have multiple skills. David Zook in Nibley is a manager and an urban planner, for example.
- B Fresz said that a city manager and a human resources person would be a good fit, and a city manager
 and an urban planner would be a good fit. A city manager and a lawyer might work. But a city manager
 and an engineer would probably not work very well, because an engineer doesn't have the time flexibility
 to have a second role.
- K Allen said that we need to be have solid reasoning for hiring a city manager so that we can explain the
 decision to the citizens.
- K Eck suggested that we have a city manager from a local city come talk to us about what they do.
- K Eck thought that the reason for the pushback about the city manager position is less about the money it
 will cost the city, and more about citizens who don't want the city to be managed more professionally.
 They would prefer the status quo because it allows them to do more of what they want. K Eck believes
 that there is so much more we could do if we had the resources to be more proactive rather than
 reactive.
- R Sneddon agrees that there is more that we could do. However, he does not believe that we can expect the city manager to pay for themselves by writing grants. He believes that the city will have to accept the extra cost of a city manager because it is in the best interest of the city overall.
- K Eck asked if we have anyone writing grants right now.

473

474

475 476 477

478

479

- 480 481 482 483 484
- 486 487 488 489 490

485

- 491 492 493 494
- 496 497 498 499

495

501 502 503

500

- 504 505 506 507
- 508 509 510 511
- 512 513
- 514 515 516

will seek out grants for their customers. She said that one of the reasons that she brought up the city manager position to the mayor is that we can't seem to attract people for \$12/hour that stay long enough to learn the job. With a city manager, hopefully they would already know many of the things that they need to know to do the job. However, city managers also tend to move on after about 3-5 years. Mayor Drew said that some city office staff have shown initiative, and that we have given them salary

S Bankhead said that while grants bring money, many of them also cost money. Many engineering firms

- increases. It is important to keep the people who are stepping up and taking on more work.
- R Sneddon said that part of the problem may not be the lack of a city manager, but rather that our lower level staff are not paid enough. There should be incremental salary increases so that people feel like they are making progress. He feels that turnover at the lower levels may be 50% of our problem.
- The council decided to put the city manager position on the budget so that we can start planning for it.
- B Fresz said that there is a university that has a city manager degree that could come talk to us.
- S Bankhead spoke about other budget items. We are not proposing an increase in service fees [for the enterprise funds] at this time. However, we would like to increase miscellaneous service fees. These increases will not significantly increase revenue, but they will help us match the expense for each service to the fee we collect. The secondary water budget projections are still a work in progress.
- Mayor Drew explained that we have moved the fees that we pay to both of the irrigation water companies into a new enterprise fund for secondary water. The theory is that over time, we will put our excess water shares to beneficial use by putting them into our secondary water system. S Bankhead said that right now, the use of those shares is split with the culinary system because of the exchange agreement.
- S Bankhead said that the state legislature changed the way truth in taxation proceedings are done, and we will have to reschedule our day for the meeting. August 28th is the day that the county has available for us, unless we want to do a Monday, in which case we can do any Monday after August 1st. In that meeting, we can only discuss taxation and the budget.
- The council decided to schedule the meeting for August 5th.
- S Bankhead spoke about the inspector position that is included in the budget. We have budgeted it in community development.
- S Bankhead said that we have budgeted the RAPZ money and the park impact fees towards our park projects. We are waiting to hear about the amount of our RAPZ award. The Zollinger Park playground equipment improvement will cost around \$45,000. We are waiting on the weather to proceed with improvements for Hillcrest Park.
- S Bankhead suggested shifting the money and the expenses for park projects that will be delayed until next fiscal year from the general fund into the capital projects fund, because the capital projects fund does not have a cap on unallocated funds like the general fund does.
- B Fresz suggested aiming for 20% unallocated funds in the general fund.
- S Bankhead agreed.

Staff Reports: Items presented by Providence City Staff will be presented as information only. S Bankhead, Administrative Services Director

- We created two new groups for the Providence Pipeline notification service: business and home business. We had over 100 people sign up for the Pipeline over the last month. Part of this was due to changing to Xpress Bill Pay.
- We used to have a council workshop at the beginning of the meeting where the council members came and sat down at a conference table and discussed things with staff. This was fairly productive and limited the amount of interruption by the public.
- Mayor Drew said that he would like to bring in Max Pierce, city engineer, to give reports to the council.
- S Bankhead said that the referendum books have been turned in to the county. They had enough signatures to proceed.
- Mayor Drew said that this week the council needs to discuss whether or not to challenge the referendum.
- K Allen asked about recreation fees. Are they enough to defray the cost? We have some of the finest baseball facilities in the county, and should be able to recoup more of our costs.

517 S Bankhead said that we work with other communities to do the baseball program. Those communities 518 have objected to raising the fees. If Providence raises the fee and the other communities do not, people 519 will simply sign up through the other communities. 520 K Allen felt that we should consider raising the fees anyway. 521 Mayor Drew asked if other communities would follow our lead. 522 S Bankhead didn't think that they would. 523 K Eck asked about the tournament rates. 524 S Bankhead said that those were raised. Also, the competitive teams do pay for the umpire costs and for 525 field maintenance. We use some of the money from the competitive teams to cover the costs of the 526 recreation league teams. 527 K Eck spoke about the Field of Angels program. It is a baseball program for the disabled. It is coming to 528 Ridgeline High School's field. She suggested putting it in our newsletter. 529 R Stapley, Public Works Director 530 R Stapley said that having the workshop at the beginning would be good if we are going to invite M Pierce 531 to report. 532 The irrigation water exchange pump is now operating. 533 We are on the Dustbuster schedule for spraying our dirt roads. 534 We got an estimate for repairing the Vactor. It was about \$14,000. We are going ahead with it. It will take 535 about a month. 536 Mayor Drew spoke about the secondary water system rates. He reworked the rates. The new rates are 537 based on half of the culinary rate for water usage exceeding 10,000 gallons. 538 Mayor Drew said that M Pierce has been working on an expanded secondary water system that would 539 mostly cover homes within the western area of the city. 540 541 Council Reports: Items presented by the City Council members will be presented as informational only; no formal 542 action will be taken. The City Council may act on an item, if it arose subsequent to the posting of this agenda and 543 the City Council determines that an emergency exists. 544 B Fresz mentioned the United Way Gala that will be held tomorrow. The speaker is Sean Reyes, Utah 545 Attorney General. 546 547 Motion to Adjourn: —K Allen, second — R Sneddon 548 Vote: 549 Yea: B Fresz, K Eck, K Allen, D Giles, R Sneddon 550 Nay: 551 Excused: 552 553 Meeting adjourned at approximately 10:10 PM 554 Minutes prepared by Jesse Bardsley 555